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## 1 Perturbations

### 1.1 Definition

We can understand perturbations in general relativity intuitively by introducing 5 -dimensional manifold $\mathcal{F}$ which is foliated by a one-parameter family of perturbed spacetime $\left(\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}, g(\epsilon)\right)$ where $\epsilon$ is a perturbation parameter and $g(\epsilon)$ is metric of $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$. To discuss difference between two quantities live in background and perturbed spacetime, we need a one-parameter group of diffeomorphism $\phi_{\epsilon}: \mathcal{M}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$ which maps points in $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ to points in $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}$. Then, the perturbed quantity of a geometrical quantity $Q$ with left superscript $\epsilon$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\epsilon} Q \equiv \phi_{-\epsilon}^{*} Q(\epsilon), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{-\epsilon}^{*}$ is the push-forward (or pull-back) through $\phi_{-\epsilon}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\phi_{\epsilon}\right)$. Its Taylor expansion is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\epsilon} Q=Q+\epsilon \dot{Q}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{2} \ddot{Q}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{Q}$ and $\ddot{Q}$ is the first and second order derivative with respect to $\epsilon$, respectively. From eq. 11, we identify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{Q}=\mathcal{L}_{V} Q  \tag{3}\\
& \ddot{Q}=\mathcal{L}_{V} \mathcal{L}_{V} Q \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V$ is the generator of $\phi_{\epsilon}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(f) \equiv \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(f \circ \phi_{\epsilon}-f\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an arbitrary scalar field $f$.

### 1.2 Gauges

Notice that there are infinite ways to choose diffeomorphism $\phi_{\epsilon}$. It corresponds to the gauge freedom of perturbations in general relativity. The gauge transformation of $\dot{Q}$ between two different gauges, $\phi_{\epsilon}$ and $\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}} Q-\mathcal{L}_{V} Q=\mathcal{L}_{\xi} Q \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ are generators of $\phi_{\epsilon}$ and $\phi_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$, respectively, and $\xi \equiv V^{\prime}-V$. Moreover, $\xi$ is tangent to $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(\epsilon)=V^{\prime}(\epsilon)-V(\epsilon)=1-1=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is understood scalar field on $\mathcal{F}$. Hence, we can evaluate $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} Q$ on $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ which menas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathcal{L}_{\xi} Q\right](0)=\mathcal{L}_{\xi(0)}[Q(0)] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\dot{Q}$ is gauge invariant if the above vanishes for all $\xi$. This is possible only when $Q(0)$ is zero, a constant scalar, or constructed by Kronecker delta with constant coefficients. This approach was first introduced in Stewart and Walker(1974) and reviewed in Stewart(1990).

### 1.3 Metric and Levi-Civita Tensor

The perturbed metric is expanded into

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\epsilon} g_{a b}=g_{a b}+\epsilon h_{a b}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h \equiv \mathcal{L}_{V} g$. The perturbation of identity endormorphism $\delta$ vanishes because

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{v}^{a} & =\mathcal{L}_{V}\left(\delta^{a}{ }_{b} v^{b}\right)=\dot{\delta}^{a}{ }_{b} v^{b}+\delta^{a}{ }_{b} \dot{v}^{b},  \tag{10}\\
0 & =\dot{\delta}^{a}{ }_{b} v^{b} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector $v$. Then, the perturbation of inverse metric becomes $\mathcal{L}_{V} g^{a b}=-h^{a b}$ because

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\dot{\delta}^{a}{ }_{b}=g_{b c} \mathcal{L}_{V} g^{a c}+g^{a c} h_{b c},  \tag{12}\\
\mathcal{L}_{V} g^{a b} & =-g^{a c} g^{b d} h_{c d}=-h^{a b} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.4 Levi-Civita Tensor

The normalization condition of Levi-Civita tensor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-4!=\epsilon_{a b c d} \epsilon^{a b c d} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is perturbed by

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\mathcal{L}_{V}\left(g^{a e} g^{b f} g^{c g} g^{d h} \epsilon_{a b c d} \epsilon_{e f g h}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& =2 \epsilon^{a b c d} \dot{\epsilon}_{a b c d}-4 h^{a b} \epsilon_{a c d e} \epsilon_{b}^{c d e}  \tag{16}\\
& =2 \epsilon^{a b c d} \dot{\epsilon}_{a b c d}+4!h^{a b} g_{a b} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\epsilon}_{a b c d}=\frac{1}{2} h_{e}^{e}{ }_{e} \epsilon_{a b c d} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.5 Covariant Derivatives

Let us consider a operation ${ }^{\epsilon} \nabla$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\epsilon} \nabla \equiv \phi_{-\epsilon}^{*} \nabla \phi_{\epsilon}^{*}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with the spacetime metric $g$. In fact, this operation is also the Levi-Civita connection associated with the perturbed metric ${ }^{\epsilon} g=\phi_{-\epsilon}^{*} g$ as shown by

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{\epsilon} \nabla^{\epsilon} g & =\phi_{-\epsilon}^{*} \nabla \phi_{\epsilon}^{*} \phi_{-\epsilon}^{*} g=0  \tag{20}\\
{ }^{\epsilon} \nabla_{[a}{ }^{\epsilon} \nabla_{b]} f & =\phi_{-\epsilon}^{*} \nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]} \phi_{\epsilon}^{*} f=0 \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is an arbitrary function. Then, the difference between covariant derivatives of a tensor $T$ with respect to ${ }^{\epsilon} \nabla$ and $\nabla$ is written by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{\epsilon} \nabla_{c}-\nabla_{c}\right) T_{b_{1} \cdots b_{l}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} T_{b_{1} \cdots b_{l}}^{a_{1} \cdots d \cdots a_{k}} C^{a_{i}}{ }_{d c}-\sum_{i=1}^{l} T_{b_{1} \cdots d \cdots b_{l}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}} C_{b_{i} c}^{d}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in Wald(1984) where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\epsilon} C^{a}{ }_{b c}=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\epsilon} g^{a d}\left(\nabla_{c}{ }^{\epsilon} g_{b d}+\nabla_{b}{ }^{\epsilon} g_{c d}-\nabla_{d}{ }^{\epsilon} g_{b c}\right), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\epsilon} g^{a b}$ is the inverse of ${ }^{\epsilon} g_{a b}$.
Defining $\dot{C}$ and $\dot{\nabla}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{C}_{b c}^{a} & \equiv \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left({ }^{\epsilon} C^{a}{ }_{b c}-0\right),  \tag{24}\\
\dot{\nabla} & \equiv \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left({ }^{\epsilon} \nabla-\nabla\right), \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{C}^{a}{ }_{b c} & =\frac{1}{2} g^{a d}\left(\nabla_{c} h_{b d}+\nabla_{b} h_{c d}-\nabla_{d} h_{b c}\right)  \tag{26}\\
\dot{\nabla}_{c} T_{b_{1} \cdots b_{l}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} T_{b_{1} \cdots b_{l}}^{a_{1} \cdots d \cdots a_{k}} \dot{C}_{d c}^{a_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{l} T_{b_{1} \cdots d \cdots b_{l}}^{a_{1} \cdots a_{k}} \dot{C}_{b_{i} c}^{d} . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

As a result, the dot of $\nabla T$, where $T$ is a tensor of any type, becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{V} \nabla T & =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\phi_{-\epsilon}^{*} \nabla T-\nabla T\right]  \tag{28}\\
& =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[{ }^{\epsilon} \nabla\left({ }^{\epsilon} T-T\right)+\left({ }^{\epsilon} \nabla-\nabla\right) T\right]  \tag{29}\\
& =\nabla \dot{T}+\dot{\nabla} T . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.6 Riemann Curvatures

Riemann curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ associated with the spacetime metric $g$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{b c d}^{a} v^{b}=2 \nabla_{[c} \nabla_{d]} v^{a} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is an arbitrary vector. Let us consider dot of the above along a gauge $V$ which is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{V}\left(R_{b c d}^{a} v^{b}\right) & =2 \nabla_{[c} \nabla_{d]} \dot{v}^{a}+2 \nabla_{[c} \dot{\nabla}_{d]} v^{a}+2 \dot{\nabla}_{[c} \nabla_{d]} v^{a}  \tag{32}\\
& =R^{a}{ }_{b c d} \dot{v}^{b}+2 v^{b} \nabla_{[c} \dot{C}_{d] b}^{a} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Leibniz' rule of Lie derivatives, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{R}_{b c d}^{a} & =2 \nabla_{[c} \dot{C}^{a}{ }_{d] b}  \tag{34}\\
& =\nabla_{[c} \nabla_{d]} h^{a}{ }_{b}+\nabla_{[c} \nabla_{|b|} h_{d]}{ }^{a}-\nabla_{[c} \nabla^{a} h_{d] b}  \tag{35}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{e}{ }_{b} R^{a}{ }_{e c d}-h^{a}{ }_{e} R^{e}{ }_{b c d}\right)+\nabla_{[c} \nabla_{|b|} h_{d]}{ }^{a}-\nabla_{[c} \nabla^{a} h_{d] b} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.7 Einstein Equation

The dot of Ricci tensor $R_{a b} \equiv R^{c}{ }_{a c b}$ and scalar $R \equiv R^{a}{ }_{a}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{R}_{a b} & =\delta^{d}{ }_{c} \dot{R}_{a d b}{ }_{a d}+\dot{\delta}^{d}{ }_{c} R^{c}{ }_{a d b}  \tag{37}\\
& =\nabla^{c} \nabla_{(a} h_{b) c}-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{c} \nabla_{c} h_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{b} \nabla_{a} h^{c}{ }_{c}  \tag{38}\\
& =\nabla_{(a} \nabla^{c} h_{b) c}-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{c} \nabla_{c} h_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{b} \nabla_{a} h^{c}{ }_{c}-R_{a}{ }^{c}{ }_{b}{ }^{d} h_{c d}+R^{c}{ }_{(a} h_{b) c}  \tag{39}\\
\dot{R} & =g^{a b} \dot{R}_{a b}-h^{a b} R_{a b}  \tag{40}\\
& =\nabla_{b} \nabla_{a} h^{a b}-\nabla^{b} \nabla_{b} h^{a}{ }_{a}-h^{a b} R_{a b} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

For the Einstein tensor, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{G}_{a b} & =\dot{R}_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} h_{a b} R-\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} \dot{R}  \tag{42}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{c} \nabla_{c} h_{a b}+\nabla_{c} \nabla_{(a} h_{b)}{ }^{c}-\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} \nabla_{d} \nabla_{c} h^{c d}-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{b} \nabla_{a} h^{c}{ }_{c}+\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} \nabla^{d} \nabla_{d} h^{c}{ }_{c}+\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} h^{c d} R_{c d}-\frac{1}{2} h_{a b} R . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Spacetime is governed by the Einstein equation given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{a b}=8 \pi T_{a b} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the stress-energy. Its linear perturbation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{G}_{a b}=8 \pi \dot{T}_{a b} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.8 Geodesics

Let us consider a dust given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{a b}=\rho u_{a} u_{b} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is energy-density and $u$ is 4 -velocity satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1=u \cdot u \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stress-energy satisfies the perturbation of contracted Bianchi identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{b} T_{a b}=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the Einstein equation. Their perturbation is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{T}_{a b}= & \dot{\rho} u_{a} u_{b}-\rho h_{a c} u^{c} u_{b}-\rho u_{a} h_{b c} u^{c}+\rho \dot{u}_{a} u_{b}+\rho u_{a} \dot{u}_{b}  \tag{49}\\
0= & h_{a b} u^{a} u^{b}+2 u_{a} \dot{u}^{a}  \tag{50}\\
0= & \nabla^{b} \dot{T}_{a b}-\dot{C}_{a}^{c}{ }^{b} T_{c b}-\dot{C}^{c}{ }_{b}{ }^{b} T_{a c}-h^{b c} \nabla_{c} T_{a b}  \tag{51}\\
0= & \nabla^{b} \ddot{T}_{a b}-2 \dot{C}^{c}{ }_{a}{ }^{b} \dot{T}_{c b}-2 \dot{C}^{c}{ }_{b}{ }^{b} \dot{T}_{a c}-\ddot{C}^{c}{ }_{a}{ }^{b} T_{c b}-\ddot{C}^{c}{ }_{b}{ }^{b} T_{a c}+h^{b d} \dot{C}^{c}{ }_{a d} T_{c b}+h^{b d} \dot{C}^{c}{ }_{b d} T_{a c} \\
& \quad-\left(j^{b c}-2 h^{a c} h^{b}{ }_{c}\right) \nabla_{c} T_{a b}-h^{b c}\left(\nabla_{c} \dot{T}_{a b}-\dot{C}^{c}{ }_{a}{ }^{b} T_{c b}-\dot{C}^{c}{ }_{b}{ }^{b} T_{a c}\right) \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

At background we assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{\epsilon} \rho & =\epsilon \rho+\epsilon^{2} \sigma+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)  \tag{53}\\
{ }^{\epsilon} u^{a} & =u^{a}+\epsilon v^{a}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)  \tag{54}\\
{ }^{\epsilon} T_{a b} & =\epsilon\left(\rho u_{a} u_{b}\right)+\epsilon^{2}\left\{\sigma u_{a} u_{b}+\rho\left(v_{a} u_{b}+u_{a} v_{b}\right)\right\}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right) \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the perturbation of contracted Bianchi identity in the leading-order becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\nabla^{b}\left(\rho u_{a} u_{b}\right)  \tag{56}\\
& =\rho u^{b} \nabla_{b} u_{a}+u_{a} \nabla^{b}\left(\rho u_{b}\right) . \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Noticing $u^{b} \nabla_{b} u_{a}$ is spatial with respect to $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=u^{b} \nabla_{b} u^{a}  \tag{58}\\
& 0=\nabla^{a}\left(\rho u_{a}\right) \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first is the equation of geodesics and the second is the law of conservation. In the next-to-leading-order we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \cdot u=-\frac{1}{2} h_{a b} u^{a} u^{b} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \nabla^{b}\left\{\sigma u_{a} u_{b}+\rho\left(v_{a} u_{b}+u_{a} v_{b}\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{a} h^{b c}+\nabla^{b} h_{a}{ }^{c}-\nabla^{c} h_{a}{ }^{b}\right)\left(\rho u_{c} u_{b}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{b} h^{b c}+\nabla^{b} h_{b}{ }^{c}-\nabla^{c} h_{b}^{b}\right)\left(\rho u_{a} u_{c}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} h^{b c} \nabla_{c}\left(\rho u_{a} u_{b}\right)  \tag{61}\\
= & u_{a} \nabla^{b}\left(\sigma u_{b}\right)+\rho u_{b} \nabla^{b} v_{a}+\nabla^{b}\left(\rho u_{a} v_{b}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \rho u_{b} u_{c} \nabla_{a} h^{b c}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{b} h^{b c}+\nabla^{b} h_{b}{ }^{c}-\nabla^{c} h_{b}^{b}\right)\left(\rho u_{a} u_{c}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} h^{b c} \nabla_{c}\left(\rho u_{a} u_{b}\right) \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2 Gravitational Waves

In this lecture, we only consider perturbations with Minkowski background.

### 2.1 Minkowski Background

The Riemann tensor of Minkowski spacetime vanishes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{b c d}^{a}=0 . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the Levi-Civita connection for any tensors is commute:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]}=0 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming ${ }^{\epsilon} T=O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$, we get the perturbed Einstein equation in the next-to-leading-order as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{c} \nabla_{c} h_{a b}+\nabla_{c} \nabla_{(a} h_{b)}{ }^{c}-\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} \nabla_{d} \nabla_{c} h^{c d}-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{b} \nabla_{a} h_{c}^{c}+\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} \nabla^{d} \nabla_{d} h_{c}^{c} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining $\bar{h}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}_{a b} \equiv h_{a b}-\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} h_{c}^{c}, \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{c} \nabla_{c} \bar{h}_{a b}+\nabla_{(a} \nabla^{c} \bar{h}_{b) c}-\frac{1}{2} g_{a b} \nabla^{c} \nabla^{d} \bar{h}_{c d} . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Lorenz Gauge

We impose a gauge condition, so-called Lorenz gauge, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{b} \bar{h}_{a b}=0 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the perturbed Einstein equation becomes the wave equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\nabla^{c} \nabla_{c} \bar{h}_{a b} . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that eq. (68) does not determine gauge uniquely. We can generate a set of gauges satisfying the Lorenz gauge condition by the gauge transformation given in eq. (6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{a b}^{\prime}=h_{a b}+\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{a b} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ are metric perturbations in given gauge and new gauge, respectively, and $\xi$ is a vector. Their relation in $\bar{h}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}_{a b}^{\prime}=\bar{h}_{a b}+\nabla_{a} \xi_{b}+\nabla_{b} \xi_{a}-g_{a b} \nabla^{c} \xi_{c} . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

If both gauges satisfy the Lorenz gauge condition, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{b} \nabla_{b} \xi^{a}=0 \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3 Wave Solution

Let us consider a wave solution of the metric perturbation with the wave equation in eq. 69 given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{a b}=\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^{3} \mathcal{N}(k) \tilde{h}_{a b}(k) e^{\mathrm{i} P(; k)} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{N} \equiv\{k: k \cdot k=0\}-\{0\}$ is the set of null vectors, $\tilde{h} d^{3} \mathcal{N}$ is the infinitesimal amplitude, and $P$ is the phase such that $k^{a}=\nabla^{a} P . \mathcal{N}$ is decomposed into the future-directed subset $\mathcal{N}^{+}$and the past-directed subset $\mathcal{N}^{-}$, respectively. Because $h$ is real, $\mathcal{N}^{+}$and $\mathcal{N}^{-}$have one-to-one correspondence given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{h}_{a b}(-k)=\tilde{h}_{a b}^{*}(k) . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 Traceless Gauge

Let us investigate possibilities of the further gauge restriction given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{a}^{a}=0, \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the traceless gauge. For the above, we have to transform gauge with $\xi$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=h_{a}^{a}+2 \nabla_{a} \xi^{a}, \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is a metric perturbation in given gauge. Because we only consider gauges satisfying the Lorenz gauge condition, $\xi$ is the solution of eq. (72). Thus, it has form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{a}=\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^{3} \mathcal{N}(k) \tilde{\xi}^{a}(k) e^{\mathrm{i} P(; k)} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, eq. 76 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^{3} \mathcal{N}\left(\tilde{h}^{a}{ }_{a}+2 \mathrm{i} k_{a} \tilde{\xi}^{a}\right) e^{\mathrm{i} P} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choices of $\tilde{\xi}$ to vanish the integrand of the above for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$ realize the traceless gauge.
In summary, our gauge choice have been

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\nabla^{b} h_{a b},  \tag{79}\\
& 0=h^{a}{ }_{a}, \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=k^{b} \tilde{h}_{a b}(k),  \tag{81}\\
& 0=\tilde{h}_{a}^{a}(k), \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$. Among gauges satisfying the above condition, the gauge transformations are given by $\xi$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\nabla_{a} \xi^{a} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{a} \tilde{\xi}^{a}(k)=0 \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$.

### 2.5 Riemann Tensor

From eq. (36), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{R}_{c d}^{a b} & =-2 \nabla^{[a} \nabla_{[c} h^{b]}{ }_{d]}  \tag{85}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^{3} \mathcal{N} 4 k^{[a} k_{[c} \tilde{h}^{b]}{ }_{d]} e^{\mathrm{i} P} . \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the perturbation of Reimann tensor is gauge-invariant because its background value vanishes.

### 2.6 Introducing Observer

Let us consider the Eulerian observer with 4 -velocity $n$ of a globally inertial coordinate system $\{t, \vec{x}\}$ in Minkowski background spacetime. The $3+1$ decomposition of a wave vector $k \in \mathcal{N}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{a}=\omega(n+\kappa) \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=-n \cdot k$ is the frequency and $\kappa=k / \omega-n$ is the spatial unit vector of propagation. We define a projection operator $P$ onto a vector subspace orthogonal to $n$ and $\kappa$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{b}^{a}=\delta_{b}^{a}+n^{a} n_{b}-\kappa^{a} \kappa_{b} . \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it is idempotent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{b}^{a}=P_{c}^{a} P_{b}^{c}, \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its trace is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a}^{a}=2 . \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us give an additional gauge condition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{a b} n^{b}=0 . \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

The the gauge conditions we have chosen including the above is so-called the transverse-traceless (TT) gauge condition. All gauge conditions we impose are summarized in

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=n^{b} \tilde{h}_{a b}(k),  \tag{92}\\
& 0=\kappa^{b} \tilde{h}_{a b}(k),  \tag{93}\\
& 0=\tilde{h}_{a}^{a}(k), \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathcal{N}$.
Let us show that the TT gauge condition determines a gauge uniquely. We orthogonally decompose $\tilde{\xi}$ for traceless gauges into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\xi}^{a}=\tilde{\alpha} n^{a}+\tilde{\beta} \kappa^{a}+\tilde{X}^{a}, \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{X}^{a}=P^{a}{ }_{b} \tilde{\xi}^{b}$. Because eq. 84 implies $\tilde{\alpha}=\tilde{\beta}$, we get form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\xi}^{a}=\tilde{\gamma} k^{a}+\tilde{X}^{a} . \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

For two gauges satisfying the TT gauge condition have a transformation with $\tilde{\xi}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\mathrm{i}\left(k_{a} \tilde{\xi}_{b}+\tilde{\xi}_{a} k_{b}\right) n^{b}  \tag{97}\\
& =\mathrm{i}\left\{k_{a} \tilde{\gamma}(k \cdot n)+\left(\tilde{\gamma} k_{a}+\tilde{X}_{a}\right)(k \cdot n)\right\}  \tag{98}\\
& =\mathrm{i}\left(2 \tilde{\gamma} k_{a}+\tilde{X}_{a}\right)(k \cdot n) \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

It implies that $\tilde{\xi}$ vanishes and both gauges are indentical.

### 2.7 Polarization of Amplitude

We consider a set of rank $(0,2)$ tensors $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying eqs. (92) to (94). It has projection operator $\Lambda$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{c d}^{a b} \equiv P_{(c}^{a} P_{d)}^{b}-\frac{1}{2} P^{a b} P_{c d} . \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

A right-handed orthonormal basis $\left\{e^{A}: A=+, \times\right\}$ for $\mathcal{T}$ has properties,

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{A} \cdot e^{B} & =\delta^{A B}  \tag{101}\\
e_{a b}^{A} e_{c d}^{B} g^{a c} \epsilon^{b d}{ }_{e f} n^{e} \kappa^{f} & =\varepsilon^{A B} \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta$ is the Kronecker delta, $\varepsilon$ is the Levi-Civita symbol for two dimension, $\epsilon$ is the spacetime Levi-Civita tensor, and $\cdot$ is the inner product for $\mathcal{T}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot y=g^{a c} g^{b d} x_{a b} y_{c d}, \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x, y \in \mathcal{T}$. The collection of right-handed orthonormal bases are parametrized by $\vartheta$ with the relation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{a b}^{+}(\vartheta)=\cos (2 \vartheta) e_{a b}^{+}(0)+\sin (2 \vartheta) e_{a b}^{\times}(0),  \tag{104}\\
& e_{a b}^{\times}(\vartheta)=-\sin (2 \vartheta) e_{a b}^{+}(0)+\cos (2 \vartheta) e_{a b}^{\times}(0), \tag{105}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{e^{A}(0)\right\}$ is a fiducial basis.
Let us consider a phase adjustment of $\tilde{h}$ by $\alpha$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{h}_{a b}=\left\{\Re\left(\tilde{h}_{a b} e^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha}\right)+\mathrm{i} \Im\left(\tilde{h}_{a b} e^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha}\right)\right\} e^{\mathrm{i} \alpha}, \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the real part and imaginary part are orthogonal to each other. Note that $\alpha$ for the orthogonalization is not unique. So, we introduce a "standard" process for the orthogonalization given by

$$
\begin{align*}
-\pi / 2 \leq \alpha & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Arg}(\tilde{h} \cdot \tilde{h}) \leq \pi / 2  \tag{107}\\
\tilde{h}_{+} & =\sqrt{\Re\left(\tilde{h} e^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha}\right) \cdot \Re\left(\tilde{h} e^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha}\right)}>0  \tag{108}\\
e^{+} & =\frac{1}{\tilde{h}_{+}} \Re\left(\tilde{h} e^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $e^{\times}$is uniquely determined by the right-handedness of the basis $\left\{e^{A}: A=+, \times\right\}$ for $\mathcal{T}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{h}_{\times}=\mathrm{i} \Im\left(\tilde{h} e^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha}\right) \cdot e^{\times} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we get the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{h}_{a b}=\tilde{h}_{A} e_{a b}^{A} e^{\mathrm{i} \alpha} . \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an analogy to the form for elliptically polarized electromagnetic waves given in Landau and Lifshitz(1975)]. Introducing unit eigenvectors $x$ and $y$ for $e^{+}$with positive and negative eiginvalues, respectively, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{a b}^{+}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x_{a} x_{b}-y_{a} y_{b}\right),  \tag{112}\\
& e_{a b}^{\times}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x_{a} y_{b}+y_{a} x_{b}\right) . \tag{113}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise: Show that $\left|\tilde{h}_{+}\right|>\left|\tilde{h}_{\times}\right|$in the above process.

### 2.8 Changing Observer

A metric perturbation in the traceless gauge has decomposition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{a b}=\mathfrak{A} \hat{k}_{a} \hat{k}_{b}+\mathfrak{B}_{a} \hat{k}_{b}+\hat{k}_{a} \mathfrak{B}_{b}+\mathfrak{C}_{a b}, \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{k}^{a} & =n^{a}+\kappa^{a}  \tag{115}\\
\mathfrak{A} & =h_{a b} n^{a} n^{b},  \tag{116}\\
\mathfrak{B}_{a} & =-h_{a c} n^{a} P_{b}^{c},  \tag{117}\\
\mathfrak{C}_{a b} & =h_{c d} P_{a}^{c} P_{b}^{d} . \tag{118}
\end{align*}
$$

We find that the metric perturbation in the TT gauge is only taking $\mathfrak{C}$.
In another observer with 4 -velocity $n^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{a b}=\mathfrak{A}^{\prime} \hat{k}_{a}^{\prime} \hat{k}_{b}^{\prime}+\mathfrak{B}_{a}^{\prime} \hat{k}_{b}^{\prime}+\hat{k}_{a}^{\prime} \mathfrak{B}_{b}^{\prime}+\mathfrak{C}_{a b}^{\prime} . \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the above and eq. (114), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{C}_{a b}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{C}_{c d} P_{a}^{\prime c} P_{b}^{\prime d}, \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{\prime}$ is the projection operator orthogonal to $n^{\prime}$ and $\kappa^{\prime}$ as defined in eq. 88. It is the transformation rule for metric perturbations in the TT gauge.

### 2.9 Perturbation of Observer

We consider observers that follow dusts with the 4 -velocity field given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\epsilon} u^{a}=n^{a}+\epsilon v^{a}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

From eqs. 60) and 62, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=v \cdot n  \tag{122}\\
& 0=n_{a}\left\{n^{b} \nabla_{b} \sigma+\nabla^{b}\left(\rho v_{b}\right)\right\}+\rho n^{b} \nabla_{b} v_{a} \tag{123}
\end{align*}
$$

in the TT gauge. If $\sigma=0$ and $v=0$ before arrival of GWs, $v=0$ is maintained even though GW is passing. So we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=0 \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the spacetime and the observerse are fixed on the globally inertial coordinate system $\{t, \vec{x}\}$.

## 3 Detection of GWs

### 3.1 Geometrical Optics

Let us consider an electromagnetic field whose 4-potential can be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{a}=\Re\left[\left\{\tilde{A}_{a}+\omega^{-1} \tilde{B}_{a}+O\left(\omega^{-2}\right)\right\} e^{\mathrm{i}\left\{\omega Q+R+O\left(\omega^{-1}\right)\right\}}\right] \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $l^{a} \equiv \nabla^{a} Q$ is future-directed, $m^{a}=\nabla^{a} R$, and $-n \cdot l \sim 1 / \mathcal{R}$ for an observer $n$ and the curvature radius $\mathcal{R}$. Through,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{b} A_{a}=\Re\left[\left\{\mathrm{i} l_{b}\left(\tilde{A}_{a}+\omega^{-1} \tilde{B}_{a}\right)+\nabla_{b}\left(\tilde{A}_{a}+\omega^{-1} \tilde{B}_{a}\right)+O\left(\omega^{-1}\right)\right\} e^{\mathrm{i}\left\{\omega Q+R+O\left(\omega^{-1}\right)\right\}}\right]  \tag{126}\\
=\Re\left[\left\{\mathrm{i} \omega l_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+\mathrm{im}_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+\mathrm{i} l_{b} \tilde{B}_{a}+\nabla_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+O\left(\omega^{-1}\right)\right\} e^{\mathrm{i}\left\{\omega Q+R+O\left(\omega^{-1}\right)\right\}}\right]  \tag{127}\\
\nabla^{a} A_{a}=\Re\left[\left\{\mathrm{i} \omega(l \cdot \tilde{A})+\mathrm{i}(m \cdot \tilde{A})+\mathrm{i}(l \cdot \tilde{B})+\nabla^{a} \tilde{A}_{a}+O\left(\omega^{-1}\right)\right\} e^{\mathrm{i}\left\{\omega Q+R+O\left(\omega^{-1}\right)\right\}}\right],  \tag{128}\\
\nabla_{c} \nabla_{b} A_{a}=\Re\left[\left\{\mathrm{i}\left(\omega l_{c}+m_{c}\right)\left(\mathrm{i} \omega l_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+\mathrm{im}_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+\mathrm{i} l_{b} \tilde{B}_{a}+\nabla_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}\right)+\nabla_{c}\left(\mathrm{i} \omega l_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+\mathrm{i} m_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+\mathrm{i} l_{b} \tilde{B}_{a}+\nabla_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}\right)\right.\right. \\
\left.+O(1)\} e^{\left.\mathrm{i}\left\{\omega Q+R+O\left(\omega^{-1}\right)\right\}\right]}\right]  \tag{129}\\
=\Re\left[\left\{-\omega^{2} l_{c} l_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+\omega\left(-m_{b} l_{c} \tilde{A}_{a}-l_{b} l_{c} \tilde{B}_{a}+\mathrm{i} l_{c} \nabla_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}-m_{c} l_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+\mathrm{i} \nabla_{c}\left(l_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}\right)\right)+O(1)\right\} e^{\mathrm{i}\left\{\omega Q+R+O\left(\omega^{-1}\right)\right\}}\right],  \tag{130}\\
\nabla^{b} \nabla_{b} A_{a}=\Re\left[\left\{-\omega^{2}(l \cdot l) \tilde{A}_{a}+\omega\left(-2(m \cdot l) \tilde{A}_{a}-(l \cdot l) \tilde{B}_{a}+2 \mathrm{i} l^{b} \nabla_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+\mathrm{i} \tilde{A}_{a} \nabla_{b} l^{b}\right)+O(1)\right\} e^{\mathrm{i}\left\{\omega Q+R+O\left(\omega^{-1}\right)\right\}}\right] \tag{131}
\end{gather*}
$$

the Maxwell equation without charge,

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{b} \nabla_{b} A_{a} & =R_{a}^{b} A_{b},  \tag{132}\\
\nabla^{a} A_{a} & =0, \tag{133}
\end{align*}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=l \cdot l \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the leading-order of $\omega$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=l \cdot \tilde{A},  \tag{135}\\
& 0=2 l^{b} \nabla_{b} \tilde{A}_{a}+\tilde{A}_{a} \nabla_{b} l^{b}+2 \mathrm{i}(m \cdot l) \tilde{A}_{a} \tag{136}
\end{align*}
$$

in the next-to-leading-order. Let us ignore $m$. (why?) Rewriting results, we obtain the evolution equations along $l$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
l^{a} \nabla_{a} Q & =l \cdot l=0  \tag{137}\\
l^{b} \nabla_{b} l^{a} & =g^{a c} l^{b} \nabla_{b} \nabla_{c} Q  \tag{138}\\
& =g^{a c} l^{b} \nabla_{c} \nabla_{b} Q  \tag{139}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} g^{a c} \nabla_{c}(l \cdot l)  \tag{140}\\
& =0, \tag{141}
\end{align*}
$$

in the leading-order and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\nabla_{b}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{2} l^{b}\right),  \tag{142}\\
& 0=l^{b} \nabla_{b} \tilde{f}_{a}  \tag{143}\\
& 0=l \cdot \tilde{f} \tag{144}
\end{align*}
$$

in the next-to-leading-order where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \sqrt{\tilde{A} \cdot \tilde{A}^{*}}, \tilde{f}_{a} \equiv \tilde{A}_{a} / \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, the first equation is conservation of ray number, the second equation is the parallel transport of polarization, and the third equation is the transverse condition of polarization.

### 3.2 Perturbation of Rays

Perturbation of $l^{a}=g^{a b} \nabla_{b} Q$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{l}^{a}=-h^{a b} l_{b}+\nabla^{a} \dot{Q} \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perturbation of the evolution of $Q$ becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
0=\dot{l}^{a} \nabla_{a} Q+l^{a} \nabla_{a} \dot{Q}  \tag{146}\\
=\left(-h^{a b} l_{b}+\nabla^{a} \dot{Q}\right) l_{a}+l^{a} \nabla_{a} \dot{Q},  \tag{147}\\
\quad l^{a} \nabla_{a} \dot{Q}=\frac{1}{2} h_{a b} l^{a} l^{b} . \tag{148}
\end{gather*}
$$

Perturbation of $\alpha \equiv-n^{a} \nabla_{a} Q$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\alpha}=-\dot{n}^{a} \nabla_{a} Q-n^{a} \nabla_{a} \dot{Q} . \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

At background, we assume that $\alpha=1$ and $l^{a}=n^{a}+\lambda^{a}$ where $\lambda$ is a spatial unit vector. Perturbed quantities are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\epsilon} Q=Q+\epsilon S+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right),  \tag{150}\\
& { }^{\epsilon} \alpha=1+\epsilon \beta+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{151}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the equation for $S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{a} \nabla_{a} S=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^{3} \mathcal{N}(k) \tilde{h}_{a b} l^{a} l^{b} e^{\mathrm{i} P} \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S^{\mathrm{p}}+S^{\mathrm{h}} \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{\mathrm{p}}=\int_{\mathcal{N}} d^{3} \mathcal{N} \tilde{S}^{\mathrm{p}} e^{\mathrm{i} P}  \tag{154}\\
& \tilde{S}^{\mathrm{p}}=-\mathrm{i} \frac{1}{2(l \cdot k)} \tilde{h}_{a b} l^{a} l^{b} \tag{155}
\end{align*}
$$

and $S^{\mathrm{h}}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{a} S^{\mathrm{h}}=\gamma\left(n_{a}+\lambda_{a}\right) \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta & =-n^{a} \nabla_{a} S  \tag{158}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^{3} \mathcal{N} \frac{n \cdot k}{l \cdot k} \tilde{h}_{a b} l^{a} l^{b} e^{\mathrm{i} P}+\gamma \tag{159}
\end{align*}
$$

We give boundary condition at the plane $\mathcal{P}$ that is the congruence of emitters as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\beta]_{\mathcal{P}}=0 . \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^{3} \mathcal{N} \frac{n \cdot k}{l \cdot k} \tilde{h}_{a b} l^{a} l^{b} e^{\mathrm{i} P^{\mathrm{h}}} \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{a} P^{\mathrm{h}}=k_{a}-(k \cdot l) \lambda_{a} . \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result,

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta & =-n^{a} \nabla_{a} S  \tag{163}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{N}} d^{3} \mathcal{N} \frac{n \cdot k}{l \cdot k} \tilde{h}_{a b} l^{a} l^{b}\left(1-e^{\mathrm{i} \Delta}\right) e^{\mathrm{i} P}, \tag{164}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta \equiv P^{\mathrm{h}}-P$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\mathrm{h}}(t, \vec{x})=P(t-\vec{x} \cdot \lambda, \vec{x}-(\vec{x} \cdot \lambda) \lambda) \tag{165}
\end{equation*}
$$

is retarded phase from $\mathcal{P}$.

### 3.3 Beyond Geometrical Optics

Please refer Park and Kim(2021), Park(2022a), Park(2022b).
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